Case 1:03-cr-00133-SJ Document 94 Filed 06/09/2004

- - - - -X

FILED U.S. DISTRICT COURT, FD.N.Y 100 0 1 2004 * *



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

- against -

ABAD ELFGEEH and AREF ELFGEEH,

Defendants.

THE GRAND JURY CHARGES:

INTRODUCTION

Prohibition of Unlicensed Money Transmitting Business

At all times relevant to this Indictment, unless

otherwise indicated:

1. Prior to November 1, 2001, the term "illegal money transmitting business" was defined in litle 18, United States Code, Section 1960(b)(1)(A) to include any money transmitting business that affected interstate or foreign commerce in any manner or degree and was intentionally operated without an appropriate money transmitting license in a State where such operation was punishable as a misdemeanor or a felony under State law. After November 1, 2001, the term "unlicensed money transmitting business" was defined in Title 18, United States Code, Section 1960(b)(1)(A) to include any money transmitting business that affected interstate or foreign commerce in any manner or degree and that was operated without an

BROOKLYN OFFICE

SUPERSEDTNG INDICTMENT

Cr. No. 03-133(S-2)(CPS) (T. 18, U.S.C., §§ 1960(a) (1994), 1960(a) (2001), 2, 371, 982 and 3551 et seq.; T. 21, U.S.C., \$ 8531



appropriate money transmitting license in a State where such operation was punishable as a misdemeanor or a felony under State law, regardless of whether the operator knew that the operation was required to be licensed or that the operation was so punishable.

2. The term "money transmitting" was defined in Title 18, United States Code, Section 1960(b)(2) to include transferring funds on behalf of the public by any and all means including but not limited to transfers within the country or to locations abroad by wire, check, draft, facsimile, or courier.

3. Pursuant to New York State Banking Law Section 650(2)(a), the operation of an unlicensed money transmitting business was punishable as a misdemeanor; pursuant to New York State Banking Law Section 650(2)(b)(1), the operation of an unlicensed money transmitting business was punishable as a felony if the business received \$10,000 or more for transmission in a single transaction, \$25,000 or more for transmission in a period of thirty days or less, or \$250,000 for transmission in a period of a year or less.

COUNT ONE

4. The allegations contained in paragraphs one through three are realleged and incorporated as if fully set forth in this paragraph.

5. In or about and between January 1995 and October 2001, both dates being approximate and inclusive, within the

Eastern District of New York and elsewhere, the defendant ABAD ELFGEEH, together with others, did knowingly and intentionally conspire to conduct, control, manage, supervise, direct and own all and part of a business, knowing that the business was an illegal money transmitting business, which affected interstate and foreign commerce, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1960(a) (1994).

6. In furtherance of the conspiracy and to effect its objectives, within the Eastern District of New York and elsewhere, the defendant ABAD ELFGEEH, together with others, committed and caused to be committed, among others, the following:

OVERT ACTS

(a) On or about October 23, 1995, the defendant ABAD ELFGEEH and a co-conspirator caused a wire transfer in the amount of \$30,000 to be made from a bank account in Brooklyn, New York in the name of Carnival French Ice Cream Supermarket to a bank account in Italy.

(b) On or about May 23, 1996, the defendant ABAD ELFCEEH and a co-conspirator caused a wire transfer in the amount of \$50,000 to be made from a bank account in Brooklyn, New York in the name of Carnival French Ice Cream Supermarket to a bank account in Switzerland.

(c) On or about June 8, 2001, the defendant ABAD ELFGEEH and a co-conspirator caused a wire transfer in the amount of \$140,000 to be made from a bank account in Brooklyn, New York in the name of Carnival French Ice Cream Supermarket to a bank account in Yemen.

(Title 18, United States Code, Sections 371 and 3551 et seq.)

COUNT TWO

7. The allegations contained in paragraphs one through three are realleged and incorporated as if fully set forth in this paragraph.

8. In or about and between January 1995 and October 2001, both dates being approximate and inclusive, within the Eastern District of New York and elsewhere, the defendant ABAD ELFGEEH did knowingly and intentionally conduct, control, manage, supervise, direct and own all and part of a business, knowing that the business was an illegal money transmitting business, which affected interstate and foreign commerce.

(Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1960(a) (1994) and 3551 <u>et seq</u>.)

COUNT THREE

9. The allegations contained in paragraphs one through three are realleged and incorporated as if fully set forth in this paragraph.

.

10. In or about and between November 2001 and January 2003, both dates being approximate and inclusive, within the Eastern District of New York and elsewhere, the defendants ABAD ELFGEEH and AREF ELFGEEH, together with others, did knowingly and intentionally conspire to conduct, control, manage, supervise, direct, and own all and part of an unlicensed money transmitting business, which affected interstate and foreign commerce, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1960(a) (2001).

11. In furtherance of the conspiracy and to effect its objectives, within the Eastern District of New York and elsewhere, the defendants ABAD ELFGEEH and AREF ELFGEEH, together with others, committed and caused to be committed, among others, the following:

OVERT ACTS

(a) On or about November 6, 2001, the defendants ABAD ELFGEEH and AREF ELFGEEH and a co-conspirator caused a wire transfer in the amount of \$25,000 to be made from a bank account in Brooklyn, New York in the name of Carnival French Ice Cream Supermarket to a bank account in Thailand.

(b) On or about January 10, 2002, the defendants ABAD ELFGEEH and AREF ELFGEEH and a co-conspirator caused a check drawn on a bank account in the names of "Aref A. ElFgeeh" and "Mahmood ElFgeeh," in the amount of \$9,000, to be deposited in a

bank account in Brooklyn, New York in the name of Carnival French Ice Cream Supermarket.

(c) On or about January 11, 2002, the defendants ABAD ELFGEEH and AREF ELFGEEH and a co-conspirator caused a wire transfer in the amount of \$10,000 to be made from a bank account in Brooklyn, New York in the name of Carnival French Ice Cream Supermarket to a bank account in Thailand.

(Title 18, United States Code, Sections 371 and 3551 et seq.)

COUNT FOUR

12. The allegations contained in paragraphs one through three are realleged and incorporated as if fully set forth in this paragraph.

13. In or about and between November 2001 and January 2003, both dates being approximate and inclusive, within the Eastern District of New York and elsewhere, the defendants ABAD ELFGEEH and AREF ELFGEEH did knowingly and intentionally conduct, control, manage, supervise, direct, and own all and part of an unlicensed money transmitting business, which affected interstate and foreign commerce, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1960(a) (2001).

(Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1960(a) (2001), 2 and 3551 <u>et seq</u>.)

7

CRIMINAL FORFEITURE ALLEGATION

14. The United States hereby gives notice to the defendants charged in Counts One, Two, Three, and Four that, upon their conviction of any such offenses, the government will seek forfeiture in accordance with Title 18, United States Code, Section 982, of all property involved in each offense of conviction in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1960, or conspiracy to commit such offense, and all property traceable to such property, including but not limited to, the following:

- a. all funds on deposit in JP Morgan Chase bank account number 094-0617502-65 in the name of Carnival French Ice Cream Supermarket;
- b. all funds on deposit in Astoria Federal Savings account number 91-514352-4 in the name of Aref A. ElFgeeh and Mahmood ElFgeeh; and
- c. all funds on deposit in JP Morgan Chase bank account number 094-0723858-65 in the name of Prospect Deli.

15. If any of the above-described forfeitable property, as a result of any act or omission of the defendant(s):

(a) cannot be located upon the exercise of due

diligence;

(b) has been transferred or sold to, or depositedwith, a third party;

(c) has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the court;

(d) has been substantially diminished in value; or

(e) has been commingled with other property which cannot be divided without difficulty;

it is the intent of the United States, pursuant to Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(p), to seek forfeiture of any other property of such defendant(s) up to the value of the forfeitable property described in subparagraphs 14(a) through (c) above.

(Title 18, United States Code, Section 982; Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(p))

A TRUE BILL

Susan Coop

Maurkent Rochy-K

ROSLYNN R. MAUSKOPF UNITED STATES ATTORNEY EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK



Case 1:03-cr-00133-SJ

а. 4 ۰.

	0133-SJ	Document 94	Filed 06/09/2	001 1	age 10 of 10
	1	INFORMATIO	N SHEET		FILED
			TRICT COURT OF NEW YORK	u.e ★	JUN 0 9 2004
Title of Case: _	United Stat	tes v. Abad Elfge	eh and Arcf Elfgee		BROOKLYN OFFI
Related Magistra	ate Docket l	Number(s)	M-03-0614	<u> </u>	4.0
None ()			- CR	03	13
Arrest Date:	Abad Elfg	eeh - 2/3/03 Arei	f Elfgeeh - 19/22/04	3	
Nature of offens	e(s): X	Felony Misdemeanor			
				went to D	ule 50 3 of the
Related Civil or Local E.D.N.Y.			Docket No(s). (Purs		
	Division of		eks (X)		
Local E.D.N.Y. Projected Length County in which	Division of n of Trial:	Business Rules): Less than 6 we More than 6 w allegedly commi	eks (X) ecks ()	eeh, CR-	04-268
Local E.D.N.Y. Projected Length County in which	Division of n of Trial: n crime was e 50.1(d) of	Business Rules): Less than 6 we More than 6 w allegedly commi f the Local E.D.N	U.S. v. Aref Elfg eeks (X) ecks () tted: <u>Kings</u> I.Y. Division of Bu	eeh, CR-	04-268
Local E.D.N.Y. Projected Length County in which (Pursuant to Rul	Division of n of Trial: crime was e 50.1(d) of ent been on	Business Rules): Less than 6 we More than 6 w allegedly commi f the Local E.D.N dered sealed?	U.S. v. Aref Elfg eeks (X) ecks () tted: <u>Kings</u> I.Y. Division of Bu	siness Ru	04-268
Local E.D.N.Y. Projected Length County in which (Pursuant to Rul Has this indictm Have arrest warr	Division of n of Trial: crime was e 50.1(d) of ent been or rants been o	Business Rules): Less than 6 we More than 6 w allegedly commi f the Local E.D.N dered sealed?	U.S. v. Aref Elfg eeks (X) ecks () tted: <u>Kings</u> I.Y. Division of Bu	siness Ru Yes (X)	04-268